Stephen Hoffman

| From: | ecomment@pa.gov |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | Thursday, July 9, 2020 7:12 PM |
| То: | Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; IRRC; eregop@pahousegop.com; |
| | environmentalcommittee@pahouse.net; regcomments@pa.gov; ntroutman@pasen.gov |
| Cc: | c-jflanaga@pa.gov |
| Subject: | Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: Control of VOC Emissions from Oil and |
| | Natural Gas Sources (#7-544) |

CAUTION: ****EXTERNAL SENDER**** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Re: eComment System

The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: Control of VOC Emissions from Oil and Natural Gas Sources (#7-544).

Commenter Information:

Brianna Esteves Ceres & ICCR (esteves@ceres.org) 99 Chauncy Street, 6th Fl. Boston, MA 02111 US

| RECEIVED |
|---|
| JUL - 9 2020 |
| Independent Regulatory Review Commission |

#3256

Comments entered:

In the attached statement, 50 institutional investors representing \$3.89 trillion in assets under management express support for strong methane regulations for existing oil and gas infrastructure in Pennsylvania. The statement says that it is critical that methane emissions from this sector are appropriately and comprehensively addressed.

The signed investors urge the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to finalize a strong existing-source methane rule and to improve the rule in two ways:

1. Extending the regulations to include low-producing wells. More than half of Pennsylvania's oil and gas-related methane emissions come from low-producing wells. Yet, DEP's own analysis shows that only a few hundred of the 71,000 low-producing wells in the state would be required to conduct regular inspections under the draft regulations.

2. Maintaining a frequency of quarterly leak detection and repair (LDAR) inspections. Research has shown that increasing the frequency of inspections can greatly reduce emissions at reasonable cost by limiting the number of addressed leaks between surveys.

The investors' statement says that the finalization of strong regulations will help Pennsylvania's oil and gas sector maintain its viability for the foreseeable future while addressing the economy-wide risks of climate change.

These links provide access to the attachments provided as part of this comment.

Comments Attachment: Investor Statement on PA Methane Regulations.pdf

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Jessica Shirley

Jessica Shirley Director, Office of Policy PA Department of Environmental Protection Rachel Carson State Office Building P.O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063 Office: 717-783-8727 Fax: 717-783-8926 ecomment@pa.gov

Investor Statement Supporting Strong Existing Source Methane Emissions Regulations in Pennsylvania

As the undersigned investors representing \$3.89 trillion in assets under management, we write today to express our support for the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) efforts (25 PA. CODE CHS. 121 AND 129) to regulate methane emissions from existing oil and gas infrastructure. While addressing the public health and economic impacts of COVID-19 remains paramount at this time, we welcome DEP's continued efforts to cut waste and mitigate climate change—another impending public health and economic challenge—by reducing methane emissions from oil and gas operations. In fact, today's current public health crisis makes smart, cost-effective policies to cut air pollution and protect our climate even more important. In support of these goals, we would also like to hear the companies in our portfolios publicly support the Pennsylvania DEP's regulation of methane.

As investors, we collectively direct trillions of dollars with a view to ensure sound financial returns for our beneficiaries. We recognize the significant financial risks posed by climate change as well as the enormous economic opportunities provided by low-carbon and climate-resilient technologies, markets and business models. It is estimated that around a quarter of man-made methane emissions—which have more than 80 times the global warming potential than carbon dioxide—come from the oil and gas sector. Therefore, it is critical that methane emissions from this sector are appropriately and comprehensively addressed.

Methane mitigation technologies have proven themselves cost-effective when implemented, driving additional revenue through the capture of otherwise lost product. The efficient use of product is particularly valuable during shocks such as COVID-19. What's more, improvements in technologies continue to drive declines in capital and operational costs of methane mitigation, making them more affordable to producers large and small.¹ As Pennsylvania's oil and gas industry experiences strong production and looks to increase export levels, strong methane standards support global competitiveness in a world with increasingly stringent climate policies and corporate supply chain emissions-reduction goals.

Investors have made engagement with oil and gas companies on methane a key priority in recent years, working with companies to set targets and align their operational practices accordingly. Yet, while some companies are demonstrating leadership on managing methane emissions, industry performance is not uniform. Recent analysis from the Environmental Defense Fund has found that Pennsylvania's oil and gas companies are emitting over 1.1 million tons of methane annually, more than 16 times what is reported to the state.² Without a level

¹ Wilson, Mary Jane. "Expert Report of Mary Jane Wilson, President of WZI Inc." Nov. 25, 2019.

² Environmental Defense Fund. <u>"Pennsylvania Oil and Gas Emissions Data."</u> May 13, 2020.

playing field, the poorest performers will shape the public narrative on natural gas, overshadowing proactive measures of industry leaders and risking the industry's social license to operate. As the second largest producer of natural gas in the country, Pennsylvania plays a key role in setting leading standards for other states to follow.

For these reasons, we write today to urge DEP to finalize a strong existing-source methane rule that will complement the new-source general permits already in place, as well as to encourage industry leaders to support DEP's adoption of a strong rule. The draft of this existing-source rule should be improved in two ways:

- Extending the regulations to include low-producing wells. More than half of Pennsylvania's oil and gas-related methane emissions come from low-producing wells. Yet, DEP's own analysis shows that only a few hundred of the 71,000 low-producing wells in the state would be required to conduct regular inspections under the draft regulations.
- Maintaining a frequency of quarterly leak detection and repair (LDAR) inspections. Research has shown that increasing the frequency of inspections can greatly reduce emissions at reasonable cost³ by limiting the number of unaddressed leaks between surveys.⁴

We appreciate DEP's leadership in moving forward this important regulation. The finalization of strong regulations will help Pennsylvania's oil and gas sector maintain its viability for the foreseeable future while addressing the economy-wide risks of climate change.

Sincerely,

Adrian Dominican Sisters, Portfolio Advisory Board

Aegon Asset Management

Aquinas Associates

As You Sow

Boston Common Asset Management

Boston Trust Walden Company

California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS)

Church Investment Group

Committee on Mission Responsibility Through Investment of the Presbyterian Church U.S.A.

³ MJ Bradley & Associates. <u>Comments on the Environmental Protection Agency's Proposed Rule: Oil and Natural</u> <u>Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources Reconsideration, Appendix E & I.</u> Feb. 2019

⁴ Ravikumar et al. <u>"Repeated leak detection and repair surveys reduce methane emissions over scale of years."</u> 26 Feb. 2020

- Congregation of Sisters of St. Agnes
- Corporate Responsibility office The Province of Saint Joseph of the Capuchin Order
- Dana Investment Advisors
- Domini Impact Investments
- EOS at Federated Hermes, on behalf of its clients
- Everence and the Praxis Mutual Funds
- EverHope Capital
- Felician Services, Inc.
- Federated Hermes International
- **Figure 8 Investment Strategies**
- Friends Fiduciary Corporation
- Hannon Armstrong
- Kendall Sustainable Infrastructure
- Leadership Team of the Felician Sisters of North America
- Legal & General Investment Management
- Maryknoll Sisters
- Mercy Investment Services, Inc.
- Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.
- **Missionary Oblates International Pastoral Investment Trust**
- NEI Investments
- NorthStar Asset Management, Inc.
- Northwest Coalition for Responsible Investment
- New York City Office of the Comptroller
- New York State Common Retirement Fund
- Pennsylvania State Treasurer Joe Torsella
- Pax World Funds
- **Region VI Coalition for Responsible Investment**
- Robeco
- Sisters of Saint Joseph of Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, PA
- Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia
- Sisters of the Humility of Mary
- Sisters of the Presentation of the BVM of Aberdeen SD
- Skye Advisors
- Socially Responsible Investment Coalition

Sonen Capital The Episcopal Church (DFMS) Trillium Asset Management United Methodist Women Unitarian Universalist Association Wespath Benefits and Investments Zevin Asset Management

.